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ABSTRACT

Red-to-green laser conversion requires dual-wave laser optics coatings at 1060nm and 530nm. Loss analysis of the dual-wave coatings were presented for 3 types of coating designs with a coating material combination of HfO$_2$/SiO$_2$. Homogeneity and smoothness of the HfO$_2$/SiO$_2$ multilayers via standard plasma ion assisted deposition were evaluated. A modified plasma ion assisted deposition process with in-situ plasma smoothing was developed to deposit dense and smooth HfO$_2$/SiO$_2$ multilayers. Improved film microstructure was revealed on single layer and multilayer coated samples by means of atomic force microscopy and scanning electron microscopy. The improved film microstructure led to low loss and laser durable coating performance.
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1. Introduction

The advantages of laser sources and their wide range of applications require high performance laser optics in a wide spectral range from deep-ultraviolet (DUV) to long wavelength infrared (LWIR). Advanced optical coatings play a dominant role in the ability to use lasers to meet the demands of such applications. For example, dual-wave optical coatings at 1060nm and 530nm enhance red-to-green laser conversion efficiency. The green laser is obtained by using high nonlinearity LiNbO$_3$ crystal as second-harmonic generation (SHG) to convert an infrared laser diode at 1060nm to a synthetic green laser at 530nm.\textsuperscript{1} The refractive index of the crystal is 2.144 and 2.223 at 1060nm and 530nm, corresponding to a reflectance loss of 13.2% and 14.4% at a normal angle of incidence on a single SHG surface, respectively. Dual-wave AR coatings at 1060nm and 530nm are necessary for both the input and output facets of the SHG. Other dual-wave coatings are also required to improve the green laser system performance. In addition, the...
laser durability of the dual-wave coatings needs to be considered. The input laser power can reach up to 500mW in CW mode focused on a 5μ waveguide, corresponding to a power density of 2MW/cm². In this technical report, scatter loss of the dual-wave coatings at 530nm and 1060nm are analyzed. Surface and interface of the HfO₂/SiO₂ multilayer derived from plasma ion assisted deposition are revealed. Low loss dual-wave laser optics coatings are realized by introducing in-situ plasma smoothing.

2. Scatter loss of dual-wave coatings

A combination of HfO₂/SiO₂ was selected as the high and low refractive index materials for the dual-wave coating designs, due to their good thermal/mechanical stability and relatively high laser damage threshold.²⁻⁵ Various amounts of surface and interfacial roughness were assumed, in order to estimate the scatter loss of the dual-wave coatings at 1060nm and 530nm. Fig. 1 plots scatter loss as a function of surface and interfacial roughness RMS (root-mean-square) for a dual-wave AR coating. Scatter loss increases with surface and interfacial roughness at both wavelengths. However, the ratio of the scatter loss at 530nm and 1060nm is 3.20 for a given RMS.

![Fig. 1 Calculated scatter loss of a dual-wave AR coating @1060 & 530nm as a function of surface and interfacial roughness RMS.](image_url)

Fig. 1 Calculated scatter loss of a dual-wave AR coating @1060 & 530nm as a function of surface and interfacial roughness RMS.

Similar calculations were performed for a partial reflective coating at 1060nm combined with an antireflection coating at 530nm, i.e., PR@1060&AR@530, and for a high reflective coating at 1060nm and an antireflection coating at 530nm, i.e., HR@1060&AR@530. Fig. 2 depicts the
scatter loss of the dual-wave coating PR@1060&AR@530 as a function of surface and interfacial roughness RMS. Fig. 3 describes the scatter loss of the HR@1060&AR@530 as a function of surface and interfacial roughness RMS. The ratio of the scatter loss at 530nm and 1060nm is 4.34 and 16.14 for the PR@1060&AR@530 and HR@1060&AR@530, respectively.

Fig. 2 Calculated scatter loss of dual-wave coating PR@1060nm and AR@530nm as a function of surface and interfacial roughness RMS.

![Scatter loss vs. RMS (nm)](image1)

Fig. 3 Calculated scatter loss of dual-wave coating HR@1060nm and AR@530nm as a function of surface and interfacial roughness RMS.

Table 1 lists the scatter loss ratio SL@530/SL@1060 for the 3 different dual-wave coatings. The total number of layers of HfO2/SiO2 films is also included. As the total number of layers increases, the scatter loss ratio increases dramatically. Fig. 4 plots the spectral reflectance of the
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dual-wave coatings at an 8° angle of incidence. The dual-wave coatings were deposited on the output facets of the SHG to optimize red-to-green laser conversion efficiency and system performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dual-wave coating</th>
<th>AR@1060 &amp; AR@530</th>
<th>PR@1060 &amp; AR@530</th>
<th>HR@1060 &amp; AR@530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SL@530/SL@1060</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>16.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of layers</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 Spectral reflectance of dual-wave coatings at 8° angle of incidence.

Low loss dual-wave coatings require multilayer HfO₂/SiO₂ with smooth surface and interfacial structure, especially for the dual-wave coating HR@1060&AR530. As seen in Fig.3 and Table 1, the scatter loss of the HR@1060&AR530 coating at 530nm is 16-fold greater than the scatter loss at 1060nm. A surface and interfacial roughness of 4nm in the dual-wave coating leads to a scatter loss of 0.13% and 2.1% at 1060nm and 530nm, respectively. As a result, achieving a high transmittance (>99.5%) at 530nm is a greater technical challenge than achieving a high reflectance (>99.5%) at 1060nm.
3. Modified PIAD process

HfO₂/SiO₂ films were deposited via Leybold Syruspro 1110, equipped with an advanced plasma source (APS). The process was described in previous publications. Two high purity raw materials, granular SiO₂ and hafnium metal, were irradiated by electron beams and thermally evaporated in high vacuum chambers. A rotating substrate holder located in the top of the chamber was negatively biased relative to the plasma source. Ions from the plasma sheet were accelerated to the substrates, bombarding the growing films while the electrons were reflected, resulting in plasma ion assisted deposition (PIAD). Oxygen gas was directly introduced into the vacuum chamber for chemically reactive deposition, whereas argon was used as a working gas for the plasma source.

A modified PIAD process includes standard plasma ion assisted deposition and in-situ plasma smoothing. Fig. 5 schematically illustrates the modified PIAD process, in which zone α and zone β correspond to the plasma smoothing and PIAD processes, respectively. Plasma momentum transfer of the modified PIAD process can be described by

\[ P = (\alpha P_S + \beta P_D)(2\pi)^{-1} \]  

where \( P_S \) and \( P_D \) represent the amount of momentum transfer for the in-situ smoothing and the plasma ion assisted deposition, respectively.

The amount of plasma momentum transfer \( P_D \) used for assisting film deposition can be calculated by

\[ P_D = J_i(2m_i eV_b)^{0.5} \gamma(R)^{-1} \]  

where \( R \) is the deposition rate in nm/sec, \( V_b \) is the plasma bias voltage, and \( J_i \) and \( m_i \) are the plasma ion flux in ion/(cm² sec) and mass in atomic units, respectively. \( e \) is the electron charge, and \( \gamma \) is a unit conversion factor.

---

**Fig. 5 Schematic drawing of modified plasma ion assisted deposition**
In addition to plasma assisted deposition, extra plasma interaction with the deposited film surface enables one to smooth film surfaces by increasing surface atom mobility and eliminating surface defects. The surface plasma smoothing effect can be described by the amount of plasma momentum transfer $P_S$,

$$P_S = J_i(2m_i eV_i)^{0.5} \eta(n_s)^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $n_s$ is the surface atom density of the deposited film in atom/cm$^2$, and $\eta$ is a unit conversion factor. All other symbols have the same definition as Eq.(2).

3.1. Single layer HfO$_2$

Oxide film microstructure can be improved by means of advanced deposition techniques, such as ion assisted deposition (IAD), plasma ion-assisted deposition (PIAD), and ion-beam-assisted deposition (IBAD). For amorphous materials such as SiO$_2$, increasing plasma ion bombardment leads to film densification.$^{4,5}$ For materials with various phase structures, such as HfO$_2$, plasma ion bombardment may result in preferential film structure formation in addition to densification.$^6$

The effectiveness of the in-situ smoothing was evaluated on single layer HfO$_2$ films with different PIAD processes. Fig. 6 shows AFM images of a 167nm HfO$_2$ film deposited on a commercial SiO$_2$ substrate with the standard and modified PIAD processes. The RMS (root-mean-square) roughness obtained from AFM on sample L and sample R was 1.6nm and 0.4nm for the standard and modified PIAD, respectively. As can be seen on sample R, the substrate polish residual has been clearly transferred to the film surface from the substrate surface, indicating a dense and amorphous film growth mechanism. In the case of sample L, with the standard PIAD process, the substrate polish residual has disappeared from the film surface, because the morphology is dominated by the inhomogeneous film microstructure instead of the substrate. The inhomogeneous and homogeneous film structure of the HfO$_2$ films was also confirmed by ellipsometric measurement and data modeling, a nondestructive and surface sensitive optical method used to characterize thin films, surfaces, and material microstructures.$^7$-$^9$
3.2. HfO₂/SiO₂ multilayer

Following the HfO₂ single layer tests, HfO₂/SiO₂ multilayer deposition was conducted. Fig. 7 shows scanning electron microscopy (SEM) cross sectional images of the HfO₂/SiO₂ multilayer deposited from the standard PIAD process (top images) and the modified PIAD with in-situ plasma smoothing (bottom images). The layers with the light and gray colors represent the HfO₂ and SiO₂ layers, respectively. The HfO₂/SiO₂ films were deposited on SiO₂ substrates shown on the left of Fig. 7. The in-situ plasma smoothing process leads to film structure that is independent of total layer number and coating thickness, as revealed in the bottom images of Fig. 7. The typical surface roughness of the HfO₂/SiO₂ multilayer derived from the modified PIAD is less than 0.5nm. The surface roughness is independent of overall layer number and total film thickness. The modified PIAD enables low loss dual-wave coatings for green laser applications.
4. Conclusions

Loss analysis of dual-wave coatings was performed on 3 types of dual-wave coating designs with a coating material combination of HfO₂/SiO₂. The scatter loss of the dual-wave coatings was presented as a function of surface and interfacial roughness. A modified plasma ion assisted deposition process with in-situ plasma smoothing was developed to deposit dense and smooth HfO₂/SiO₂ multilayers. Improved film microstructure was revealed on single layer and multilayer coated samples by means of atomic force microscopy and scanning electron microscopy. The improved film microstructure led to low loss and laser durable coatings.
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